

Stable decompositions of countable equivalence relations

- McGill, Feb 20, 2023

Notations for today:

- (X, μ) : standard probability space
- \mathcal{R} : countable ergodic pmp equivalence relation
- $[\mathcal{R}]$ = "full group of \mathcal{R} "
= $\{T \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu) \mid \forall^* x \in X: T(x) \mathcal{R} x\}$

Def: \mathcal{R} is stable $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}}$

e.g. $\mathcal{R} \left(\bigoplus_{\#} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right) \cong \prod_{\#} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
= E_0 .

Thm (Jones-Schmidt '85) TFAE:

1) \mathcal{R} is stable

2) $\exists T_n \in [\mathcal{R}], A_n \subseteq X, \mu(A_n) = \frac{1}{2}$:

• T_n is central: $\forall S \in [\mathcal{R}]: \mu(\{x \mid T_n S x \neq S T_n x\}) \rightarrow 0$.

• A_n are almost invariant:

$$\forall S \in [\mathcal{R}]: \mu(SA_n \Delta A_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\cdot T_n A_n \subseteq X \setminus A_n.$$

Question: $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ not stable.

$$\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}} \cong \mathcal{R}_2 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2^t ?$$

If yes: "unique stable decomposition".

Thm (Ioana-S. '18) Yes if \mathcal{R}_1 is strongly ergodic.

Def: \mathcal{R} is Schmidt if $\exists T_n \in [\mathcal{R}]$ central
st. $\liminf_n \mu(\{x \mid T_n x \neq x\}) > 0$.

Rk: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ Schmidt $\Rightarrow \Gamma$ inner amenable.
 $\exists \not\Leftarrow$
open

Thm (S. '21) Suppose \mathcal{R}_1 not Schmidt,

$\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}} \cong \mathcal{R}_2 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}}$. Then either

1) \mathcal{R}_2 is not Schmidt, and $\mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2^t$.

2) \mathcal{R}_2 is stable, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_2 \cong \mathcal{R}_2 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}} \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}}$.

1) Schmidt Property.

Lemma (S.'21) If R is not Schmidt,
 then $\exists F \ll [R]$, $\exists k > 0$ s.t. $\forall \nu \in [R]$
 $\mu(\{x \mid \nu(x) \neq x\}) \leq k \sum_{w \in F} \mu(\{x \mid \nu w(x) \neq w\nu(x)\})$

Here: $[R] = \left\{ \nu: \underset{\cong}{A} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underset{\cong}{B} \mid \forall x \in A: \nu(x) R x \right\}$.

Lemma (S.'21) If R is not Schmidt and
 $\sigma_n \in [R \times S]$ is central, then

$\exists X \ni x \mapsto \sigma_{n,x} \in [S]$ s.t.

$(\mu \times \nu) \left(\{ (x,y) \in X \times Y \mid \sigma_n(x,y) \neq (x, \sigma_{n,x}(y)) \} \right) \rightarrow 0$

Lemma (S.'21) Assume $R_1 \times R_{hyp} \cong R_2 \times R_{hyp}$,

R_1 not Schmidt. Then either

- R_2 is not Schmidt, or
- R_2 is stable

"Proof": Assume R_2 is Schmidt, not stable:

- $\exists T_n \in [R_2]$ central st.
- $\mu_2(\{x \in X_2 \mid T_n x \neq x\}) = 1.$
- $\forall A_n \subseteq X_2$ alm. inv. : $\mu_2(T_n A_n \Delta A_n) \rightarrow 0.$

$\leadsto T_n \times \text{id} \in [R_2 \times R_{\text{hyp}}] = [R_1 \times R_{\text{hyp}}].$

Lemma $\stackrel{\text{SS}}{\Rightarrow} (x, T_{n,x})$

$\Rightarrow T_{n,x}$ "is in the tail of R_{hyp} "

\Rightarrow construct A_n s.t.

$$\mu((T_n \times \text{id})A_n \Delta A_n) \not\rightarrow 0. \quad \begin{array}{l} \downarrow \\ \square \end{array}$$

2) Intertwining

Lemma (Ioana'11) $S, T \leq \mathcal{R}$. TFAE

$$1) \exists \mathcal{A} \subseteq X, T_0 \leq T, \forall \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A} \exists \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$$

$$\theta: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \in [\mathcal{R}]:$$

a) $T_0|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq T|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ has finite index.

$$b) (\theta \times \theta)(T_0|_{\mathcal{Y}}) \leq S|_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

$$2) \nexists \theta_n \in [T]: \varphi_S(\mathcal{Y} \theta_n \mathcal{Y}') \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}' \in [\mathcal{R}]$$

$$\text{where } \varphi_S(\theta) = \mu(\{x \mid \theta(x) \in Sx\})$$

Notation: $T \prec_{\mathcal{R}} S$.

Thm (S'21) Assume $\mathcal{R}_1 \times S_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2 \times S_2$

$$\bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \prec \mathcal{R}_2 \Rightarrow \exists T \leq \mathcal{R}_2: \mathcal{R}_2 \cong \mathcal{R}_1 \times T.$$

(cf [Ioana-S. '18])

$$\bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \prec \mathcal{R}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_2 \prec \mathcal{R}_1$$

\Rightarrow same is true for S_i 's

$$\& \mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2^t, \quad S_1 \cong S_2^s.$$

Lemma: $R_1 \times R_{\text{hyp},1} \cong R_2 \times R_{\text{hyp},2}$

R_1 not Schmidt.

$\Rightarrow R_{\text{hyp},2} \preceq R_{\text{hyp},1}$.